

From: Kevin Clark
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/28/02 1:26pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

From: Kevin D. Clark
191 Mitchell Road
Nottingham, N.H. 03290

To: Renata B. Hesse
Antitrust Division
U.S. Department of Justice
601 D Street NW
Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Under the Tunney Act, I wish to comment on the proposed Microsoft settlement.

I am a professional software engineer, with 10+ years of experience in industry. During these 10+ years, I have seen Microsoft grow to dominate the computer software market. Microsoft has achieved this dominance through anti-competitive practices, and I have seen many novel and innovative technologies crushed by Microsoft, all in the name of furthering Microsoft's iron grip over the software market. As someone who is both passionate about working in this field, and as someone who tries to innovate in this field as well, Microsoft's actions over the last few years are very distressing.

I have read the Proposed Final Judgement, and I want to say this: the Proposed Final Judgement will allow many exclusionary practices to persist. So, I don't support this judgement.

(unfortunately, due to time constraints, I cannot enumerate all of the ways in which this judgement is flawed -- if you are looking for more specific complaints, please refer to:

<http://www.kegel.com/remedy/remedy2.html>

I consider the summary of the problems with the Proposed Final Judgement on this web-site to be excellent.

)

Please work to fix this judgement. If you leave any loopholes in this judgement, there is much precedent to show that Microsoft *will*

exploit these loopholes to maintain its illegal monopoly.

Regards,

--Kevin D. Clark
kevin_d_clark@yahoo.com

Do You Yahoo!?
Great stuff seeking new owners in Yahoo! Auctions!
<http://auctions.yahoo.com>